

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,621 08/25/2003		J. Milton Harris	034848/268046	2821
826 7590 10/05/2004		004	EXAMINER	
	& BIRD LLP AMERICA PLAZA	WARE, DEBORAH K		
	TRYON STREET,	UITE 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOT	TE, NC 28280-4000		1651	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>· </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/647,621	HARRIS, J. MILTON
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Deborah K. Ware	1651
Period fo		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)[2]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/24	<u>/</u> 63,	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)[[7]	Claim(s) <u>/-2/</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) /3-2/ is/are withdraw		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6)[[Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Fyaminer
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '	• •
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is madé of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau		
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
	•		
Attachment	• •		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
3) 🕼 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)
Detect out T	-d-mad. Off		

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-12, drawn to a biologically active conjugate, classified in class
 435, subclass 68.1.
- II. Claims 13-17, drawn to a method for synthesizing a water soluble organic polymer, classified in class 424, subclass 600.
- III. Claim 18, drawn to a method of preparing an activated PEG, classified in class 435, subclass 180.
- IV. Claims 19-21, drawn to method of preparing conjugate of a substance, classified in class 514, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I and Groups II-IV are related as product and processes of making wherein there is one way distinctness between the product and process. The processes are carried out independently from the product of Group I and hence are different and distinct from Group I. There would be a serious burden of search placed upon the examiner to have to search for all of these inventions. Each of the processes have two way distinctness between each other in that each one is preparing a different compound and there is a requirement for different process steps for each method Group of claims. Each method is classified differently and separate from the other which also indicates the distinctnesss between these Groups II-IV. Therefore, due to the serious

Art Unit: 1651

burden for the examiner to search and consider all the varied issues regarding the Groups I-IV restriction was deemed prudent.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Paul Pedigo on September 14, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 13-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on August 26, 2003, was received. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.

Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Art Unit: 1651

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 5,739,208 and over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 5,900,461 and over claims 12-17 of U.S. Patent No. 5,446,090. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the conjugates are biologically active.

Claims are drawn to a biologically active conjugate.

Art Unit: 1651

Each of the U.S. Patents teach the same and make obvious the claims of the instant case. The thiol moiety and sulfone moiety is linked to form a biologically active compound as also set forth in the patented claims. One of skill would have been motivated to obtain the claimed conjugate since the patented claims clearly teach this conjugate. The claims are prima facie obvious over the patented subject matter in these U.S. Patent documents, cited above.

No claims are allowed.

The references cited on the enclosed PTO-1449 Form show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-0924. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1651

DESCRAPH. WARE PATENT EXAMINER Deboran K. Ware

September 21, 2004

Page 6